





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/766,407	01/22/2001	Ronald Martin Tanner	56932.000007	7309
29315 75	90 10/28/2003	·	EXAM	INER
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC			BASOM, BLAINE T	
	HILLS ROAD		ARTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA	20190		2173	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
. Office Action Summers	09/766,407	TANNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Blaine Basom	2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be evaileble under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed efter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deys, e reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified ebove, the meximum statutory period will epply end will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the meiling date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term edjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 January 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Ciled (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Orawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				



Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 7 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities: Regarding claim 7, the phrase "the method of claim 1 wherein the step of customizing the image *further* comprises the step" is objected to because the step of customizing the image, as recited in claim 1, comprises no steps. Therefore the use of the word "further" is deemed inappropriate. In reference to claim 13, the phrase "A system for imaging a device, the system comprising the steps of:" is objected to. In particular, it is unclear how such a system comprises steps.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,052,720, which is attributed to Traversat et al. (and hereafter referred to as "Traversat"). In general, Traversat discloses a method for configuring a plurality of client computers from a single, remote server computer (see column 2, lines 49-55). Such a method allows a system administrator to efficiently propagate application changes, upgrades, and new applications to the



Art Unit: 2173

plurality of client computers (see column 6, lines 19-31). Regarding the claimed invention,
Traversat discloses that this server obtains and stores configuration information for each client
computer (see column 6, lines 9-15). This configuration information is considered an "image" of
the client computer, as it comprises information relating to the memory, storage, applications,
and other features representing the overall configuration state of the client computer (for
example, see column 8, line 60 – column 9, line 10). Traversat is therefore considered to teach a
method for imaging a device, particularly a client computer. Moreover, a server implementing
such a method is considered a system, like that of claim 13, which is for imaging a device.

In reference to claims 1 and 13, Traversat discloses that the server maintains a "server schema," which is a tree-like data structure used to store the configuration information for each client computer on a network (see column 8, lines 37-50, in addition to figure 3). This schema is considered a "directory," like that of the present application, as it is used to access the configuration information of the client computers. Moreover, Traversat discloses that this schema includes a "platform" category (see column 8, lines 51-59). The platform category particularly maintains the configuration information for each type of client computer on the network (see column 8, line 55 – column 9, line 10). This configuration information for a client computer is considered an image for that client computer, as is described in the previous paragraph. Traversat thus teaches creating an image of the client computer, and placing the image into a directory. In addition, Traversat teaches a plurality of means to customize this image to form a customized image, which is then placed on the client computer. In particular, the configuration information for the particular client computer may be overridden or augmented by configuration information maintained by a "profile category," a "users category," and a



Art Unit: 2173

"group category," of the server schema, whereby these categories respectively store configuration information for particular uses of the client computer, particular users of the computer, and particular groups of users of the computer (see column 9, lines 11-67, in addition to column 10, line 29 – column 11, line 67). Lastly, Traversat discloses that the configuration data sent to the client computer is produced according to a set of rules, the set of rules comprising an order by which the above-described categories override each other in terms of configuration data (see column 4, lines 20-47). This set of rules is considered an "imaging server policy," as it comprises rules for enabling the server to provide the images to each of the client computers. Thus in summary, Traversat teaches: creating an imaging server policy wherein the imaging server policy comprises at least one rule for enabling an image server to provide at least one image to a client computer; creating an image of the client computer; placing the image of the client computer into a directory, in particular, into the platform category of a server schema; customizing the image to form a customized image; and placing the customized image of the client computer onto the client computer.

In reference to claims 2-6 and 14-18, the above-described profile category maintains configuration information which further represents the client computer, particularly the use of the computer (see column 9, lines 27-41). It is understood that a particular client computer may or may not be associated with such a profile (for example, see column 10, line 59 – column 11, line 11), and therefore each specific profile in the profile category is considered optional. Traversat thus teaches creating an optional device object, specifically a profile, wherein the device has a representation in the directory, i.e. server schema. Furthermore, and specifically regarding claims 3 and 15, the above-described imaging server policy of Traversat comprises an

Art Unit: 2173

order by which the configuration data stored in a category of the server schema overrides the configuration data presented by the other server schema categories, as is described in the previous paragraph. Thus this set of rules is associated with the profile category of the server schema, and also, each device object, i.e. profile, maintained by the profile category.

Specifically regarding claims 4 and 16, at least one image is associated with each profile in the profile category. This image particularly comprises the application specific data regarding a specific use of a client computer (see column 9, lines 27-41). As per claims 5 and 17, the image of the device, as maintained in the above-described platform category, is associated with this device object, i.e. profile, which is maintained in the profile category, by means of a unique ID given to the client computer (see column 10, line 57 – column 11, line 11). The image of the client computer, as maintained by the platform category, is considered a base image of the client computer. Thus with respect to claims 6 and 18, when customizing the image, which as described above is done in order to send configuration information to the client computer, a base image of the computer is stored in the directory, i.e. server schema, wherein at least one additional image is associated with the base image.

In regard to claims 7 and 19, customizing the image of each client computer comprises overriding or adding to the configuration information of the platform category by configuration information maintained by the profile category, users category, and group category of the server schema, as is described above. This configuration information maintained in each server schema category is understood to be maintained in a file set, as the categories enable the server to distinguish one unit of configuration information from another. Therefore, customizing the

Art Unit: 2173

image comprises the step of defining one or more file sets wherein the file sets are inserted into the image.

With respect to claims 8 and 20, the images maintained by the above-described profile category, users category, and group category of the server schema each comprise application configuration information regarding particular users or groups of users for the client computer (see column 9, lines 27-67). The profile category, users category, and group category therefore comprise application images associated with one or more user characteristics. As is described above, customizing the image of each client computer comprises overriding or adding to the configuration information of the platform category by configuration information maintained by this profile category, users category, and group category of the server schema. Consequently, customizing the image comprises the step of inserting one or more application images associated with one or more user characteristics into the image.

Referring to claims 9 and 21, a client computer is a microcomputer or terminal connected to a network, as is known in the art. A client computer may thus be a workstation.

Consequently, the above-described method of Traversat, which is for configuring a plurality of such client computers, is applicable to workstations as well.

Concerning claims 10-11 and 22-23, Traversat teaches creating an optional device object, which is maintained as a profile in the profile category of the server schema, as is described above in the rejection for claims 2-6. Customizing the image of each client computer comprises overriding or adding to the configuration information of the platform category by this profile maintained by the profile category, in addition to configuration information maintained by the users and group categories of the server schema, as is described above. In other words,



Art Unit: 2173

customizing the image of the device comprises directly associating the image of the device with a profile, i.e. device object. In particular, this customization is done according to the abovedescribed imaging server policy of Traversat, which comprises an order by which the configuration data stored in a category of the server schema overrides the configuration data presented by the other server schema categories, as is described above. It is therefore understood that this step of associating the image of the client computer to a profile in the directory comprises establishing a relationship with such a policy in the directory. Furthermore, and specifically regarding claims 11 and 23, it is understood that each profile in the profile category of the server schema is used at least one, or in other words, is associated with at least one image in the platform category. Since the profile represents the use of such a client computer, such as the department within a company for which the client computer is used (see column 9, lines 27-41), it is understood that a single profile may also be associated with more than one client computer; for example, more than one client computer may be used in a particular department. It is thus understood that when directly associating an image with a profile, i.e. device object, at least one specified image is applied to the client computer regardless of rules specified in the imaging server policy.

As per claims 12 and 24, Traversat discloses one or more rules, which are based on the hardware characteristics of the client computer, and wherein an image associated with a matching rule is applied to the client computer. In particular, a rule exists whereby an image is applied to the client computer if the hardware of the client computer matches that represented in the platform category of the server schema (for example, see column 10, lines 57-67).

Art Unit: 2173

Conclusion

The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. §1.111(C) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The Rodriguez et al. U.S. Patent cited therein presents a method for installing new applications on a client computer, wherein doing so, an image of the client computer is received at a server computer. The Blumenstock U.S. Patent cited therein presents a method for operating a "programmable controller," wherein the image of the programmable controller is stored in a server. Lastly, the Kirouac et al. U.S. Patent cited therein discloses a method for updating the software used at remote locations, wherein an image of this software is maintained at a central computer system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blaine Basom whose telephone number is (703) 305-7694. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 305-3900.

btb

SUPERVISORY PT

TECHNOLOGY CLIENCE ZILL

Page 8